UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,155	08/01/2006	Makoto Kagaya	MIY.001.0045.PC	4105

58789   7590   08/17/2010
NDQ&M WATCHSTONE LLP
300 NEW JERSEY AVENUE, NW
FIFTH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER
ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2431	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/588,155	KAGAYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SYED ZIA	2431

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *02 June 2010*.
2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-16* is/are pending in the application.
    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6)☒ Claim(s) *1-16* is/are rejected.
7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.
    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    a)☒ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
    1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
    3.☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to amendments and remarks filed June 2, 2010.

Applicant amendments filed have entered and made of record. Claims 1-16 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on June 2, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive because of the following reasons:

Regarding Claims 1 applicants argued that the in cited prior art (CPA) [Murakami et al.

(U.S. Patent No.: 6,996,724], Murakami fails to disclose "the plurality of divided data and the

plurality of re-divided data by using a secret sharing scheme".

This is not found persuasive. The system of cited prior art clearly teaches a system and

method for generating confidentiality key used in encryption communication system for

encrypting image and confidential documents for transmission over computer network that

involves synthesizing random number *peculiar to entity* for extracted component of symmetric

matrix produced for each entity. In that system and method based on *each divided specific*

information blocks on an entity, a partial component of a symmetrical matrix is extracted.

According to a secret key generating method the cited system and method, each of the

plurality of key generating agencies (centers) each divided identification information (ID

division vector) obtained by dividing identification information (ID vector) of the entity into a

plurality of blocks and a secret symmetric matrix of each key generating agency (center) are used

to extract components which are a part of the symmetric matrix in accordance with *each divided*

identification information and, thereby, a mask pattern particular to each key generating agency

(center) is generated in accordance with *each divided identification* information and *the extracted*

components are masked by the mask pattern so as to generate a secret key of the entity.

As a result, the system of cited prior art does implement and teaches a system and method

that relates to a secret information management scheme based on a secret sharing scheme for

managing a secret information of a user (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60).

Applicants clearly have failed to explicitly identify specific claim limitations, which

would define a patentable distinction over prior arts.

The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the

claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read

narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim

language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the

examiner asserts that cited prior art does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in

independent Claims and in subsequent dependent Claims. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-

16 are respectfully maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1.   35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or
> any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and
> requirements of this title.

2.   Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to

non-statutory subject matter.

3.   Claim 16 recite a computer program product, which is interpreted as software per se,

however, the claims fail to assert the program recorded on an appropriate computer-readable

medium so as to be structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and permit the

function of the descriptive material to be realized. Since a computer program is merely a set of

instructions capable of being executed by a computer without a computer-readable medium

needed to realize the computer program's functionality, it is regarded as nonstatutory functional

descriptive material. See MPEP 2106.01 for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed
> in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for
> patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an
> international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this
> subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United
> States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Murakami et al.

(U.S. Patent No.: 6,996,724)

1.   Regarding Claim 1, Murakami teach and describe a secret information management

system for managing a secret information of a user, comprising: a data division unit configured

to divide the secret information into a plurality of divided data by using a secret sharing scheme,

such that the secret information can be recovered from a prescribed number of the divided data; a

divided data storing unit configured to store a part of the plurality of divided data into a terminal

of the user as user's divided data, and a rest of the plurality of divided data into one or more of

deposit servers; a data re-division unit configured to generate a plurality of re-divided data

different from the plurality of divided data obtained by the data division unit, from a combination

of the prescribed number of the divided data among the divided data stored in the deposit servers

by using the secret sharing scheme; and a re-divided data storing unit configured to store a part

of the plurality of re-divided data into the terminal as newly generated user's divided data and a

rest of the plurality of re-divided data into the deposit servers as newly generated divided data

(Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

2.   Regarding Claim 15, Murakami teach and describe a secret information management

method for managing a secret information of a user, comprising the steps of: dividing the secret

information into a plurality of divided data by using a secret sharing scheme, such that the secret

information can be recovered from a prescribed number of the divided data; storing a part of the

plurality of divided data into a terminal of the user as user's divided data, and a rest of the

plurality of divided data into one or more of deposit servers; generating a plurality of re-divided

data different from the plurality of divided data obtained by the dividing step, from a

combination of the prescribed number of the divided data among the divided data stored in the

deposit servers by using the secret sharing scheme; and storing a part of the plurality of re-divided data into the terminal as newly generated user's divided data and a rest of the plurality of re-divided data into the deposit servers as newly generated divided data (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

3.   Regarding Claim 16, Murakami teach and describe a. computer program product for causing a computer to function as a secret information management system for managing a secret information of a user, the computer program product comprising: a first computer program code for causing the computer to divide the secret information into a plurality of divided data by using a secret sharing scheme, such that the secret information can be recovered from a prescribed number of the divided data; a second computer program code for causing the computer to store a part of the plurality of divided data into a terminal of the user as user's divided data, and a rest of the plurality of divided data into one or more of deposit servers; a third computer program code for causing the computer to generate a plurality of re-divided data different from the plurality of divided data obtained by the first computer program code, from a combination of the prescribed number of the divided data among the divided data stored in the deposit servers by using the secret sharing scheme; and a fourth computer program code for causing the computer to store a part of the plurality of re-divided data into the terminal as newly generated user's divided data and a rest of the plurality of re-divided data into the deposit servers as newly generated divided data (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

4.   Claims 1-14 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claim 1. Furthermore, Murakami

teach and describe a method

As per Claim 2, further comprising: a data recovery unit configured to acquire the user's

divided data, and recover the secret information from a combination of the prescribed number of

the divided data among the user's divided data and the divided data stored in the deposit servers

by using the secret sharing scheme, at a time of utilizing the secret information (Fig.2-4, and

col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60)

As per Claim 3, further comprising: a utilization log memory unit configured to store a

fact that the secret information is utilized as a utilization log information, at a time of utilizing

the secret information (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

As per Claim 4, further comprising: a divided data transmission unit configured to

transmit a combination of as many of the divided data stored in the deposit servers as the

prescribed number minus a number of the divided data maintained by the user, to the terminal, at

a time of recovering the secret information (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

As per Claim 5, further comprising: a transmission unit configured to transmit the part of

the divided data to be stored into the terminal, to the terminal through a communication network

(Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60).

As per Claim 6, further comprising: a reception unit configured to receive the secret

information from the terminal through a communication network (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to

col.8 line 60).

As per Claim 7, the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret sharing

scheme which is a data division method for dividing the secret information into the divided data

in a desired number of division according to a desired processing unit bit length, in which the

divided data in the desired number of division are generated by generating a plurality of original

partial data by partitioning the secret information in units of the processing unit bit length,

generating a plurality of random number partial data of the processing unit bit length from a

random number in a length shorter than or equal to a bit length of the secret information, in

correspondence to respective ones of the plurality of original partial data, and generating each

divided partial data in the processing unit bit length that constitutes each divided data by

calculating exclusive OR of the original partial data and the random number partial data, and the

re-divided data in the desired number of division are generated by generating a plurality of new

random number partial data of the processing unit bit length from a newly generated random

number, and generating the re-divided partial data in the processing unit bit length by calculating

exclusive OR of the divided partial data and the new random number partial data(Fig.2-5, and

col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60,and co.11 line 6 to line 47).

As per Claim 8, wherein the data re-division unit generates the re-divided data by

calculating exclusive OR of the divided partial data that constitute each divided data contained in

a combination of the prescribed number of the divided data(Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line

60).

As per Claim 9, wherein the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret

sharing scheme which generates each divided partial data that constitutes each re-divided data by

calculating exclusive OR of each divided partial data and the new random number partial data

corresponding to the random number partial data used in generating each divided partial data

(Fig.2-5, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60 and col.11 line 6 to line 47).

As per Claim 10, wherein the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret sharing scheme in which old random number partial data are deleted from each re-divided partial data that constitutes each re-divided data by calculating exclusive OR of each re-divided partial data and the old random number partial data used in generating each divided partial data corresponding to each re-divided partial data (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60).

As per Claim 11, wherein the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret sharing scheme in which the desired number of division is n=3, the divided partial data $D(i,j)$ ($i=1$ to 3, $j=1$ to 2) that constitute each divided data are modified by interchanging $D(1,j+1)$ and $D(2, j+1)$ (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60).

As per Claim 12, the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret sharing scheme in which the desired number of division is n.gtoreq.4, the divided partial data $D(i,j)$ ($i=1$ to n, $j=1$ to n-1) that constitute each divided data are modified by setting a new value of $D(1,j)$ to be exclusive OR of $D(1,j)$ and $D(n,j)$, and then rotating $D(1,j)$, $D(2,j)$, . . . $D((n-1),j)$ (Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60).

As per Claim 13, the data division unit and the data re-division unit use the secret sharing scheme in which $D(1,j)$, $D(2,j)$, . . . $D((n-1),j)$ are rotated for ($i-1$) times(Fig.2-4, and col.6 line 26 to col.8 line 60).

As per Claim 14, the data re-division unit generates the plurality of re-divided data from a combination of the prescribed number of the divided data among the divided data stored in the deposit servers and the user's divided data stored in the terminal, upon receiving the user's divided data from the terminal, and the re-divided data storing unit stores a part of the plurality of re-divided data into another terminal of another user as another user's divided data and a rest

of the plurality of re-divided data into the deposit servers as new divided data, at a time of

transferring an access right for the secret information from the user to the another user(Fig.2-5,

and col.6 line 26 tocol.8 line 60 and col.11 line 6 to line 47).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SYED ZIA whose telephone number is (571)272-3798. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sz
August 7, 2010
/Syed Zia/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431